

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DEEDEE HIETT, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF
STANISLAUS COUNTY, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 1:22-cv-00612-JLT-EPG

ORDER GRANTING MOTIONS TO
PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS*

(ECF Nos. 2, 3.)

Plaintiffs Deedee Hiett and Ramon J. Magdaleno, Jr. ("Plaintiffs") are proceeding *pro se* in this action. (ECF No. 1.) On May 23, 2022, Plaintiffs each submitted motions to proceed *in forma pauperis*. (ECF Nos. 2, 3.) Plaintiffs have made the requisite showing under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). Accordingly, their motions to proceed *in forma pauperis* are GRANTED.

As to the status of the complaint, Plaintiff is advised that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2), the Court must conduct an initial review of a *pro se* complaint filed *in forma pauperis* to determine whether it is legally sufficient under the applicable pleading standards. The Court must dismiss a complaint, or portion thereof, if the Court determines that the complaint is legally frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). If the Court determines that the complaint fails to state a claim, leave to amend may be granted to the extent

1 that the deficiencies in the complaint can be cured by amendment. The complaint will be screened
2 in due course and Plaintiff will be served with the resulting order.

3
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: May 24, 2022

/s/ Eric P. Grogan
6 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28